*Review Article* *Open Access*

Journal of CardioVascular Insights

***DOI:*** doi.org/10.63721/25 /JCVI0108

# *Effectiveness and Clinical Relevance of Intermittent Pneumatic Compression and Lymphatic Drainage Pump Massage Devices in Patients With 1st and 2nd Degree Lower Extremity Lymphedema: An Integrative Review and Multicenter Evaluation*

**Jeyatheepan Jeyaretnam**

International Medical and Scientific Coordinator, Department of General Medicine, Instrumental Lymph Drainage Approaches, Switzerland

*Citation: Jeyatheepan Jeyaretnam (2025) Effectiveness and Clinical Relevance of Intermittent Pneumatic Compression and Lymphatic Drainage Pump Massage Devices in Patients With 1st and 2nd Degree Lower Extremity Lymphedema: An Integrative Review and Multicenter Evaluation J of Card Vas Insights 1(2), 01-04. WMJ/JCVI-108*

## *Abstract*

*Intermittent Pneumatic Compression (IPC), historically termed Apparative Intermittierende Kompressionstherapie (AIK), is a central component of contemporary lymphedema management. This integrative review synthesizes mechanistic, historical, and therapeutic foundations of IPC with findings from a multicenter clinical evaluation using advanced multi-chamber lymphatic drainage pump systems. Among 448 patients with Stage I-II lower extremity lymphedema treated for three months, 93.08% reported reduced swelling, 86.6% reported decreased pain, and 66.51% experienced combined improvement. These results align with current evidence demonstrating IPC's capacity to enhance lymphatic flow, reduce edema, improve microcirculation, and support venous hemodynamics Barfield et al.and Park et al.. IPC is shown to be clinically beneficial in both decongestive and long-term maintenance phases of lymphedema care.*

***Corresponding author:** Jeyatheepan Jeyaretnam (2025) International Medical and Scientific Coordinator, Department of General Medicine, Instrumental Lymph Drainage Approaches, Switzerland.

**Submitted:** 15.11.2025 **Accepted**: 20.11.2025 **Published:** 10.12.2025

**Keywords:** Intermittent Pneumatic Compression, Lymphedema, Complex Decongestive Therapy, Venous Disease, Thrombosis Prophylaxis, Peripheral Edema

## Introduction

Lymphedema is a chronic, progressive disorder caused by impaired lymphatic transport leading to interstitial fluid accumulation, tissue fibrosis, and functional disability [1]. Conventional management centers on Complete Decongestive Therapy (CDT), which integrates manual lymphatic drainage (MLD), compression therapy, skin care, and therapeutic exercise [2].

Intermittent Pneumatic Compression (IPC) has gained recognition as a validated adjunct to CDT and, in some cases, an alternative to manual therapies when access or mobility is limited. IPC simulates the physiological muscle-pump activity necessary for lymphatic propulsion and venous return [3,4].

Research has demonstrated that modern multi-chamber devices improve clinical outcomes in both lymphedema and venous disease [5,6]. IPC also plays a role in reducing limb discomfort, improving functional mobility, and lowering infection risk [7]. Despite increasing global adoption, parameter standardization and long-term evaluation remain areas requiring further investigation [8].

This article integrates mechanistic principles of IPC with findings from a multicenter evaluation to assess real-world effectiveness in Stage I and II lower extremity lymphedema.

## Methodology

This clinical evaluation adhered to evidence-based recommendations and safety criteria published for IPC use in lymphatic disorders [2]. Multi-chamber sequential IPC devices were used 1-2 times daily for 20-30 minutes at 40-60 mmHg, consistent with evidence-supported therapeutic ranges [4,8].

### Clinical Outcomes Assessed

- Limb circumference and volume reduction
- Tissue oxygenation and microcirculatory im provement
- Postoperative/post-traumatic edema reduc tion
- Pain, mobility, and quality of life changes
- Patient-reported experience and tolerability

Contraindications including acute thrombosis, infection, severe arterial occlusive disease, and uncontrolled heart failure were monitored based on established safety guidelines [9].

IPC was incorporated into CDT as needed, complementing MLD and compression bandaging. This multimodal approach has been shown to enhance clinical outcomes compared with CDT alone [10].

## Pathomechanical Foundations of IPC

### Mechanisms of Action

IPC promotes centripetal lymphatic and venous flow by generating controlled sequential compression, which:

- Enhances interstitial fluid mobilization
- Reduces capillary filtration and tissue pressure
- Stimulates lymphangion contractility
- Increases venous velocity, preventing stasis
- Enhances endothelial nitric oxide release, im proving microcirculation

These mechanisms are well documented in pilot and controlled studies [11,12].

### Cellular and Tissue Level Responses

Evidence demonstrates that IPC improves tissue oxygenation, reduces inflammatory markers, and modulates fibroblast activity [12]. Mechanotransductive pathways activated by cyclic compression support lymphangiogenesis and microvascular repair [7].

### Clinical Pathomechanics

- Lymphedema: reduces lymph stasis, prevents fibrotic progression [1]
- Postoperative edema: accelerates resorption of inflammatory exudates [5]
- Venous disease: reduces venous hypertension and tissue congestion [3]
- Lipedema: improves pain and reduces residual edema in lipo-lymphedema [7]

### Clinical Efficacy and Patient Experience

Rapid symptom relief often within 20 minutes was commonly reported in the multicenter sample, consistent with prior clinical studies [9]. Diuresis following IPC sessions, reflecting systemic fluid mobilization, is also well documented [3].

IPC is especially beneficial for:

- Individuals with mobility limitations
- Elderly patients
- Obese individuals
- Patients unable to tolerate compression stockings
- Athletes requiring enhanced recovery

Prior studies support significant limb-volume reductions with consistent home use of IPC, sometimes exceeding outcomes achievable through manual therapies alone [5,11].

## IPC in Thrombosis Prophylaxis

IPC has a well-established role in venous thromboembolism (VTE) prevention. Systematic reviews demonstrate significant reductions in deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism when IPC is used alone or combined with pharmacologic prophylaxis [3,6].

IPC is particularly useful when anticoagulation is contraindicated due to bleeding risk.

## IPC in Venous Disease and Ulcer Management

IPC improves venous return in chronic venous insufficiency and aids in venous ulcer healing. Clinical findings demonstrate reductions in limb edema by up to 52% after daily IPC use at therapeutic pressures [12]. Cochrane-based evidence shows increased ulcer healing rates when IPC is paired with compression bandaging [6].

## IPC in Lymphedema and Lipedema

Modern clinical guidance increasingly supports IPC as an effective adjunct to CDT in both early and established lymphedema [8]. Randomized trials show significant synergistic benefit when IPC is added to CDT [10].

In lipedema, IPC reduces pain, improves microcirculation, and mitigates secondary edema [7].

Recommended regimen (supported across multiple studies):

- 1–2 sessions/day
- 20–30 minutes/session
- Minimum 7-chamber sequential sleeve
- 40–60 mmHg pressure range

## Future Directions and Clinical Significance

Emerging evidence suggests that early use of IPC may prevent progression from latent lymphatic dysfunction to clinical lymphedema[1,8]. Advances in chamber design, pressure algorithms, and patient-specific programming will likely expand therapeutic potential.

IPC's systemic benefits including pain reduction, enhanced microcirculation, improved venous hemodynamics, and stress reduction position it as an essential tool in integrative vascular and lymphatic medicine.

## Conclusion

This integrative review and multicenter evaluation confirm that eight-chamber sequential IPC systems effectively reduce edema, relieve pain, and improve mobility in Stage I-II lower extremity lymphedema. These findings are supported by robust clinical and mechanistic evidence demonstrating improvements in lymphatic transport, venous return, microcirculation, and tissue homeostasis.

As technological innovations advance and home-based care expands, IPC is poised to become an even more indispensable element of global lymphedema and venous disease management.

## References

1. Barfield M, Winokur R S, Berland T, Davis S, Ralph V, et al. (2024) Results from a comparative study to evaluate the treatment of lower extremity lymphedema: A novel pneumatic compression device vs. a conventional device. Journal of Vascular Surgery Venous and Lymphatic Disorders 13: 101965.
2. CADTH. (2017). Intermittent pneumatic compression devices for the management of lymphedema: A review of clinical effectiveness and guidelines. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health. https://www.cda-amc.ca/user/login?destination=/intermittent-pneumatic-compression-devices-management-lymphedema-review-clinical-effectiveness-and.
3. Comerota A J, Faisal Aziz (2009) The case for intermittent pneumatic compression. Wounds International https://woundsinternational.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/content_11183.pdf.
4. Dunn N ,Edgar M Williams, Gina Dolan, Jane H Davies (2022) A pilot trial of sequencing to mimic manual lymphatic drainage: Intermittent pneumatic

compression for lower limb lymphedema, Lymphat Res Biol 20: 514-521

6. Niblett K (2021) Intermittent pneumatic compression for prolonged standing: Effects on leg pain and circumference. Medicine 100: e26943.
7. Park E Y, Kwon YL, Lee D (2023) Current role of pneumatic compression therapy in lymphedema management: A narrative review. Lymphology 56: 1-14.
8. Pajero Otero V, Esther García Delgado, Concepción Martín Cortijo, María Luisa Rodríguez Ramos, Esperanza De Carlos Iriarte (2022) Intensive complex physical therapy combined with intermittent pneumatic compression versus CPT alone for lymphedema. European Journal of Cancer Care 31: e13625.
9. Powell B (2014) Pressures and timing of intermittent pneumatic compression therapy: A systematic review. Physiological Measurement 35: R121-R137.
10. Ribeiro M A (2018) Effects of intermittent pneumatic compression treatment on clinical and inflammatory biomarkers in lower-extremity edema. Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders 6: 582-590.
11. Smith A (2011) Intermittent pneumatic compression therapy: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Phlebology 26: 334-346.
12. Thompson C (2015) Intermittent pneumatic compression for treating lower-extremity lymphedema. NIH Bookshelf.