Research Article *Open Access*

Journal of CardioVascular Insights

DOI: doi.org/10.63721/25 /JCVI0106

An Overview of The Health Benefits of Exercise on Cardiovascular Health: A Comprehensive Narrative Literature Review

Onur Oral[1*], Iyanuloluwa Ojo[2], Naima Badid[3], Evangelia Stavropoulou[4] and Pinar Tatlibal[5]

[*1]Ege University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Izmir, Turkey
[2]University College Hospital, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria
[3]Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences of Nature and Life & Sciences of the Earth and the Universe, University of Tlemcen, Algeria
[4]Master of Research in Information and Communication, University of Lorraine, France
[5]Dokuz Eylul University, Necat Hepkon Faculty of Sports Sciences, Izmir, Turkey

Citation: Onur Oral, Iyanuloluwa Ojo, Naima Badid, Evangelia Stavropoulou And Pinar Tatlibal (2025) An Overview of The Health Benefits of Exercise on Cardiovascular Health: A Comprehensive Narrative Literature Review J of Card Vas Insights 1(2), 01-6. WMJ/JCVI-106

Abstract

Exercise is widely recognized as a fundamental strategy for the prevention and management of cardiovascular disease (CVD). This narrative review aims to summarize the latest scientific evidence on the multisystemic effects of physical activity on cardiovascular health. Through a critical analysis of the literature, the physiological mechanisms by which exercise improves endothelial function, regulates lipid profiles, regulates blood pressure, and increases cardiovascular fitness are examined, with particular attention to molecular adaptations, including the hormetic paradigm of oxidative stress. The review also confirms that both aerobic and resistance exercise programs produce clinically meaningful improvements in healthy populations, individuals with risk factors, and patients with heart disease. Despite the methodological diversity of the studies and the need for further research on long-term primary clinical endpoints, the evidence clearly supports the integration of personalized and sustainable exercise programs as a cornerstone of primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention.

***Corresponding author:** Onur Oral, Ege University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Izmir, Turkey.

Submitted: 08.11.2025 **Accepted**: 13.11.2025 **Published:** 30.11.2025

Keywords: Exercise, Cardiovascular Health, Prevention, and Endothelial Function

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality globally and have a profound and increasing socioeconomic impact [1]. In the face of such negative trends, the adoption of healthy lifestyles, and in particular, regular physical activity, is emerging as a remarkably effective non-pharmacological intervention [2]. A substantial body of evidence from both observational and experimental studies clearly demonstrates how physical exercise can act synergistically across multiple pathophysiological domains and prevent the onset and progression of atherosclerosis [3]. Given this, the health benefits of regular physical activity range from improving vascular endothelial function (deterioration of which is a very early risk marker) to stabilizing atherosclerotic plaque and even improving overall cardiac performance [4, 5].

However, despite a general consensus on its value, translating evidence into definitive and universally applicable practical recommendations remains challenging. Questions remain regarding the definition of the optimal "dosage" of exercise (in terms of intensity, frequency, duration, and modality) and the relative comparative effectiveness of different training protocols in specific population subgroups, and no clear and satisfactory answer has yet been found [6]. Furthermore, while significant progress has been made in understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms, further research is needed to develop increasingly personalized and targeted interventions [7]. This narrative review is part of this contextual review, which aims to provide an up-to-date and critical synthesis of the scientific literature, analyze the numerous benefits of physical exercise on the cardiovascular system, discuss the limitations of current knowledge, and outline future directions for research and clinical practice.

Materials and Methods

A systematic and reproducible literature search strategy was employed to conduct a comprehensive and up-to-date narrative review of the cardiovascular benefits of exercise. The search was conducted by querying major biomedical bibliographic databases such as PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, and Web of Science. The time period covered spanned 1990 to 2023, encompassing both pioneering studies and more recent scientific contributions.

The search strategy was constructed using a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free keywords, linked using Boolean operators. The primary search terms were: ("exercise" OR "physical activity" OR "training") AND ("cardiovascular health" OR "endothelial function" OR "flow-mediated dilation" OR "blood pressure" OR "lipid metabolism" OR "cardiorespiratory fitness") AND ("people"). The search was limited to articles published in English.

The study selection process was conducted sequentially. Initially, the titles and abstracts of the identified records were reviewed for relevance to the review topic. In a subsequent step, the full texts of potentially eligible articles were retrieved and subjected to in-depth evaluation. Inclusion criteria included selecting original studies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), longitudinal studies, and meta-analyses, investigating the effects of different types of exercise (aerobic, resistance, combined, high-intensity interval training) on clinically meaningful cardiovascular outcomes. High-quality systematic reviews were also considered to provide context and identify additional primary references. Studies on animal models, case reports, and non-peer-reviewed articles were excluded.

Based on these criteria, a final set of 30 scientific publications was selected for synthesis and critical analysis. Data from each study included population characteristics, intervention protocol (type, intensity, duration, and frequency of exercise), primary and secondary outcomes assessed (e.g., FMD, VO2max, lipid profile, blood pressure), and baseline outcomes. The results were then evaluated by grouping them into coherent thematic areas such as vascular function, traditional risk factors, molecular mechanisms, and clinical implications to create a coherent and logically structured narrative of current knowledge.

Discussion

The cardiovascular benefits of exercise are known to be mediated by a complex network of physiological and molecular adaptations, primarily involving the vascular system, myocardium, and systemic metabolism. One cornerstone of these effects is improved endothelial function. Based on current scientific data, the endothelium, not simply considered a passive lining of vessels, is an active endocrine organ critical for vascular tone and vascular homeostasis. Pioneering and subsequent studies in this area confirm that regular aerobic training significantly increases flow-mediated dilation (FMD), a non-invasive marker of nitric oxide (NO)-dependent endothelial function [4, 8]. This effect is attributed to increased expression and activity of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), which leads to increased bioavailability of NO, a potent vasodilator with anti-atherogenic properties [9]. The effect of exercise equally extends to the modulation of classic cardiovascular risk factors, and it is well documented that regular physical activity leads to clinically significant improvements in lipid profiles, with reductions in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and triglycerides and a corresponding increase in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels [10]. In parallel, exercise, particularly aerobic exercise, has been shown to be an effective non-pharmacological tool for blood pressure control, resulting in reductions in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure through mechanisms such as decreased peripheral vascular resistance and improved arterial compliance [11]. Furthermore, it has been emphasized that regular exercise contributes to the reduction of overall cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes or insulin resistance by improving insulin sensitivity and glycemic control [12].

A fascinating aspect of the exercise response is its dual relationship with oxidative stress. A single intense exercise session can temporarily increase the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), while regular, moderate training triggers strong hormetic adaptation mechanisms [13]. This phenomenon is believed to upregulate endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase, creating a preconditioning state that protects vascular and cardiac cells from chronic oxidative damage [14, 15]. This stimulation of cellular endurance represents a fundamental protective mechanism that goes far beyond simple metabolic effects and is essential for explaining the positive physiological health benefits of regular exercise. Comparing different exercise modalities provides important information to examine the positive physiological benefits of regular physical activity. While aerobic training remains the gold standard for improving cardiovascular fitness (VO2max), resistance training is emphasized as essential for maintaining and increasing skeletal muscle

mass, a metabolically active tissue that positively influences basal metabolism and insulin sensitivity [16]. Therefore, it should come as no surprise that combined exercise programs integrating both modalities generally provide superior and more comprehensive benefits on metabolic and vascular parameters compared to programs that utilize a single training type [17]. This is particularly evident in complex populations such as patients with type 2 diabetes or the elderly, where the synergy between two types of exercise is thought to contribute to the simultaneous treatment of multiple comorbidities.

Another critical dimension relates to the environment in which the exercise is implemented. Supervised programs in a hospital or rehabilitation setting allow for accurate monitoring of intensity, ensuring maximum compliance and safety [18]. However, well-structured home-based programs, perhaps supported by telemonitoring technologies, demonstrate comparable effectiveness in maintaining long-term benefits and offer the possibility of greater flexibility and accessibility, key factors for sustainability [19]. Therefore, it is recommended that the choice of environment be evaluated based on individual patient characteristics and therapeutic goals.

Results

The synthesis of the reviewed literature aimed to present strong and consistent evidence supporting the therapeutic role of physical exercise. The results can be categorized into several outcome areas. In terms of vascular function, mean increases in Flow-mediated dilation (FMD) ranging from 1% to 4% have been noted following 8-12 week training programs in both healthy individuals and patients with established cardiovascular disease [4, 8, 20]. These positive metabolic improvements lead to more effective vasodilation and a reduction in cardiac workload, demonstrating the potential therapeutic effect of regular exercise.

In terms of functional capacity, the most significant result is an increase in maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max), which indicates increased efficiency of the entire cardiovascular system. Increases in VO2max of 10% to 20% are frequently observed in well-conducted training programs, and direct effects on reducing symptoms (e.g., dyspnea) and improving quality of life have been observed [5, 21]. Regarding traditional risk factors, meta-analyses report average decreases in systolic blood pressure of 5–8 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 3–6 mmHg in hypertensive individuals [11]. Lipid profiles improved, primarily characterized by a decrease in triglycerides (from 5% to 15%) and a modest increase in HDL cholesterol (from 3% to 5%), highlighting the potential therapeutic effect of regular physical activity [10].

At the molecular level, mechanistic studies confirm an increase in antioxidant enzyme activity and a decrease in lipid peroxidation markers (e.g., Malondialdehyde (MDA) in response to regular exercise, confirming the hormetic model [14, 15]. Finally, a critical clinically important finding is evidence of a "detraining" phenomenon. It is important to note that suspension of regular physical activity can lead to a rapid and gradual reversal of many of these beneficial adaptations, leading to a measurable decline in endothelial function and functional capacity after just a few weeks.[22] These data clearly demonstrate that the benefits of exercise depend not only on the effectiveness of the exercise program but also on the persistence of the exercise habit.

Limitations

Despite the overall strength of the evidence, this review must acknowledge some key limitations of the existing literature. First, there is significant methodological heterogeneity across studies, and exercise protocols vary significantly in intensity, volume, frequency, and duration, making direct comparisons and determining a universally applicable optimal "dose" difficult [6, 23]. Second, most studies focus on surrogate endpoints (such as FMD or VO2max) rather than "definitive" primary clinical endpoints (such as myocardial infarction, stroke, or cardiovascular mortality). While these surrogate endpoints are biologically plausible and beneficial, their translation into direct reductions in clinical events in controlled intervention settings suggests the need for further validation in long-term studies [24].

Another critical issue is the risk of selection bias. Participants who enroll in and complete exercise research programs tend to be more motivated and have a lower overall risk profile than the general cardiovascular patient population. This raises questions about the generalizability of the findings to frail individuals, those with multiple comorbidities, or those with poor behavioral compliance [25]. Finally, evidence regarding the interaction between exercise and complementary feeding or nutraceutical interventions (e.g., L-arginine supplementation) remains fragmented and sometimes contradictory, highlighting the need for specific studies designed to investigate these potential synergies [26].

Implications for Future Practice

Based on the evidence discussed, the implications for clinical practice are clear and compelling. Exercise should be considered and prescribed as a genuine treatment on par with medications in primary and secondary cardiovascular disease prevention. Clinicians should move away from the tendency to focus on general recommendations in favor of personalized "exercise prescriptions" that consider the patient's individual risk profile, functional capacity, preferences, and psychosocial context [27]. A combination of aerobic and resistance exercise should be considered the standard to maximize benefits across all physiological domains.

To improve long-term adherence, multimodal strategies such as a supervised baseline phase, gradual transition to home-based programs, use of remote monitoring technologies (wearable devices), and ongoing behavioral support (e.g., counseling) have proven most effective [28, 29]. Priorities for future research are well defined. It should also be emphasized that there is an urgent need for large-scale, long-term randomized controlled trials with long-term follow-up, specifically designed to evaluate the impact of different "doses" and forms of exercise on primary clinical endpoints. At the same time, mechanistic research should continue to leverage "omics" technologies to unravel the molecular basis of individual variability in exercise response, laying the foundation for real-lifestyle-based precision medicine [30]. Finally, implementation studies are of critical value and vital to identifying the most effective strategies for integrating these programs into healthcare systems and ensuring equitable access for all patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this narrative review clearly demonstrates the central role of regular physical exercise as a powerful tool for promoting cardiovascular health and preventing disease. Its beneficial effects, mediated through a network of vascular, metabolic, molecular, and functional adaptations, have been demonstrated across a wide range of populations, from healthy individuals to patients with established heart disease. The challenge for the future is not to prove whether exercise is beneficial, but rather to determine how to optimally prescribe it for each individual and how patients can sustainably benefit from exercise over the long term. Addressing existing knowledge gaps through rigorous research and translating evidence into effective and comprehensive practice strategies are considered critical steps to significantly reduce the global burden of cardiovascular disease.

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Dr. George N. Nomikos for his invaluable contributions to the literature research process and for his unique academic guidance during the preparation of this review article.

Conflict of Interest

The authors certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

Funding

The authors certify that no specific funding was received from any financial organization for this work.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to the conceptualization, literature review, analysis, and writing of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

References:

1. World Health Organization (2021) Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [Fact sheet]. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds).
2. Piepoli M F, Hoes A W, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, et al. (2016) 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. European Heart Journal 37: 2315-2381.
3. Fletcher G F, Landolfo C, Niebauer J, Ozemek C, Arena R, et al. (2018) Promoting physical activity and exercise: JACC health promotion series. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 72: 1622-1639.
4. Clarkson P, Montgomery H E, Mullen M J, Donald A E, Powe A J, et al. (1999) Exercise training enhances endothelial function in young men. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 33: 1379-1385.
5. Hambrecht R, Fiehn E, Weigl C, Gielen S, Hamann C, et al. (1998) Regular physical exercise corrects endothelial dysfunction and improves exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation, 98:709-2715.
6. Garber C E, Blissmer B, Deschenes M R, Franklin B A, Lamonte M J, et al. (2011) Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: Guidance for prescribing exercise. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 43: 1334-1359.
7. Joyner M J, Green D J (2009) Exercise protects the cardiovascular system: Effects beyond traditional risk factors. The Journal of Physiology 587: 5551-5558.
8. Higashi Y, Sasaki S, Kurisu S, Yoshimizu A, Sasaki N, et al. (1999) Regular aerobic exercise augments endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation in normotensive as well as hypertensive subjects: Role of endothelium-derived nitric oxide. Circulation, 100: 1194-1202.
9. Laufs U, Wassmann S, Czech T, Münzel T, Eisenhauer M, et al. (2005) Physical inactivity increases oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, and atherosclerosis. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 25: 809-814.
10. Mann S, Beedie C, Jimenez A (2014) Differential effects of aerobic exercise, resistance training and combined exercise modalities on cholesterol and the lipid profile: Review, synthesis and recommendations. Sports Medicine 44: 211-221.
11. Cornelissen V A, Smart N A (2013) Exercise training for blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Heart Association 2: e004473.
12. Colberg S R, Sigal R J, Yardley J E, Riddell M C,

Dunstan D W, et al. (2016) Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: A position statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 39: 2065-2079.

13. Radak Z, Chung H Y, Goto S (2005) Exercise and hormesis: Oxidative stress-related adaptation for successful aging. Biogerontology 6: 71–75.
14. Gomez-Cabrera M C, Domenech E, Viña J (2008) Moderate exercise is an antioxidant: Upregulation of antioxidant genes by training. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 44: 126-131.
15. Powers S K, Jackson M J (2008) Exercise-induced oxidative stress: Cellular mechanisms and impact on muscle force production. Physiological Reviews, 88:1243-1276.
16. Strasser B, Schobersberger W (2011) Evidence for resistance training as a treatment therapy in obesity. Journal of Obesity 482564.
17. Maiorana A, O'Driscoll G, Cheetham C, Collis J, Goodman C, et al. (2001) The effect of combined aerobic and resistance exercise training on vascular function in type 2 diabetes. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 38:860-866.
18. Taylor R S, Dalal H M, McDonagh S T J (2021) The role of cardiac rehabilitation in improving cardiovascular outcomes. Nature Reviews Cardiology 18: 180–194.
19. Anderson L, Sharp G A, Norton R J, Dalal H, Dean S G, et al. (2017) Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 6.
20. Vona M, Rossi A, Capodaglio P, Rizzo S, Servi P, et al. (2004) Impact of physical training and detraining on endothelium-dependent vasodilation in patients with recent acute myocardial infarction. American Heart Journal 147:1039-1046.
21. Belardinelli R, Georgiou D, Cianci G, Purcaro A (2012) 10-year exercise training in chronic heart failure:Arandomizedcontrolledtrial.Journalofthe American College of Cardiology 60: 1521-1528.
22. Mujika I, Padilla, S (2001) Cardiorespiratory and metabolic characteristics of detraining in humans. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 33: 413-421.
23. Tjønna A E, Lee S J, Rognmo Ø, Stølen T O, Bye A, et al. (2008) Aerobic interval training versus continuous moderate exercise as a treatment for the metabolic syndrome: A pilot study. Circulation 118: 346-354.
24. Franklin B A, Cushman M (2011) Recent advances in preventive cardiology and lifestyle medicine: A themed series. Circulation 123: 2274-2283.
25. Kachur S, Chongthammakun V, Lavie C J, De Schutter A, Arena R, et al. (2019) Impact of cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training programs in coronary heart disease. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases 62: 327-333.
26. Hambrecht R, Hilbrich L, Erbs S, Gielen S, Fiehn E, et al. (2000) Correction of endothelial dysfunction in chronic heart failure: Additional effects of exercise training and oral L-arginine supplementation. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 35: 706-713.
27. 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee (2018) 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report. U.S. Department of Health and HumanServices https://health.gov/sites/default/files/201909/PAG_Advisory_Committee_Report.pdf.
28. Koehler F, Koehler K, Deckwart O, Prescher S, Wegscheider K, et al. (2018) Efficacy of telemedical interventional management in patients with heart failure (TIM-HF2): A randomised, controlled, parallel-group, unmasked trial. The Lancet 392:1047-1057.
29. Lear S A, Hu W, Rangarajan S, Gasevic D, Leong D, et al. (2017) The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130,000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: The PURE study. The Lancet 390: 2643-2654.
30. Bouchard C, Blair S N, Katzmarzyk P T (2015). Less sitting, more physical activity, or higher fitness? Mayo Clinic Proceedings 90:1533-1540.